1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Henry C. Bunsow (SBN 60707) hbunsow@bdiplaw.com Brian A.E. Smith (State Bar No. 188147) bsmith@bdiplaw.com Robin Curtis (SBN 271702) rcurtis@bdiplaw.com BUNSOW, DE MORY, SMITH & ALLISON LLP 55 Francisco Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94133 Tel: (415) 426-4747 Fax: (415) 426-4744 Jeffrey D. Chen (SBN 267837) jchen@bdiplaw.com BUNSOW, DE MORY, SMITH & ALLISON LLP 600 Allerton Street, Suite 101 Redwood City, CA 94063 Tel: (650) 318-6772 Fax: (650) 684-1294	Keith R. Gillette (Bar No. 191082) kgillette@archernorris.com Chad D. Greeson (Bar No. 251928) cgreeson@archernorris.com ARCHER NORRIS 2033 North Main Street, Suite 800 Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3759 Telephone: 925.930.6600 Facsimile: 925.930.6620 Attorneys for Defendants HILL WINE COMPANY, LLC; JEFF HILL; and REBECCA HILL
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff DUCKHORN WINE COMPANY	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16		
17	DUCKHORN WINE COMPANY,	Case No. C 13-00995 LB
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED ORDER RE: DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED
19	V.	INFORMATION FOR STANDARD LITIGATION
20	HILL WINE COMPANY, LLC; et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23	1. PURPOSE	
24	This Order will govern discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") in this case as a	
25	supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of	
26	Electronically Stored Information, and any other applicable orders and rules.	
27	2. COOPERATION	
28	The parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to	
	STIPULATED ORDER RE: DISCOVERY OF ESI H0258006/1593014-1	CASE NO. C 13-00995 LB

cooperate in good faith throughout the matter consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI.

3. LIAISON

The parties have identified liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Each e-discovery liaison will be, or have access to those who are, knowledgeable about the technical aspects of e-discovery, including the location, nature, accessibility, format, collection, search methodologies, and production of ESI in this matter. The parties will rely on the liaisons, as needed, to confer about ESI and to help resolve disputes without court intervention.

4. PRESERVATION

The parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs and agree that preservation of potentially relevant ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. To reduce the costs and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper ESI is preserved, the parties agree that:

- a) The parties will exchange a list of the types of ESI they believe should be preserved and the custodians, or general job titles or descriptions of custodians, for whom they believe ESI should be preserved, e.g., "HR head," "scientist," and "marketing manager." The parties shall add or remove custodians as reasonably necessary;
- b) The parties will agree on the number of custodians per party for whom ESI will be preserved;
- c) The parties will exchange a list of data sources that may contain potentially relevant information but that are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B) to the extent such data sources exist. These data sources include back-up media systems or systems no longer in use that cannot be accessed. ESI from these sources will be preserved but not searched, reviewed, or produced;
- d) In addition to the agreements above, the parties may agree that data from certain sources (a) could contain relevant information but (b) under the proportionality factors, should not be preserved..

5. SEARCH

The parties agree that in responding to an initial Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 request, or earlier if appropriate, they will meet and confer about methods to search ESI in order to identify ESI that is subject to production in discovery and filter out ESI that is not subject to discovery.

6. PRODUCTION FORMATS

The parties agree to produce documents in PDF and/or TIFF file format, organized at the document level and produced with a delimited data file that contains the following meta-data fields, to the extent they exist: Custodian, File Path, Email Subject, Title, From, To, CC, BCC, Date Sent, Time Sent, Date Received, Time Received, Filename, Author, Date Created, Date Modified, MD5 Hash, File Size, File Extension, Production Number Begin, Production Number End, Attachment Range, Attachment Begin, and Attachment End (or the equivalent thereof).

The parties expressly reserve the right to request the production of the native file. If particular documents warrant a different format, the parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The parties agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process. No party has an obligation to make its production text-searchable; however, if a party's documents already exist in text-searchable format independent of this litigation, or are converted to text-searchable format for use in this litigation, including for use by the producing party's counsel, then such documents shall be produced in the same text-searchable format at no cost to the receiving party.

7. DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY

- a) Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of a privileged or work-product-protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For example, the mere production of privileged or work-product-protected documents in this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding.
- b) Communications involving trial counsel that post-date the filing of the complaint need not be placed on a privilege log. Communications may be identified on a privilege log by category, rather than individually, if appropriate.

8. MODIFICATION

This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the Court for good cause shown.

Case 3:13-cv-00995-LB Document 22 Filed 06/06/13 Page 4 of 4

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.		
2	Dated: May 30, 2013	/s/ Brian A.E. Smith	
3		Henry C. Bunsow, Esq. Brian A.E. Smith, Esq.	
4		Robin K. Curtis, Esq. Jeffrey D. Chen, Esq.	
5		Bunsow, De Mory, Smith & Allison LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff DUCKHORN WINE COMPANY	
6	Dated: May 30, 2013	/s/ Keith R. Gillette	
7		Keith R. Gillette Chad D. Greeson	
8		Attorneys for Defendants HILL WINE COMPANY, LLC, JEFF HILL, and REBECCA HILL	
9			
10	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45		
11	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence of the		
12	above noted signatories as indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed		
13	document.		
14			
15	IT IS ORDERED that the forgoing Agreement is approved.		
16	1 PC		
17	Dated: June 6, 2013	LINUTED CTATEC DICTRICTAMA CICTRATE HIDCE	
18		UNITED STATES DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	OWING AMED ORDER RE DIGGOVERN OF EGI		